



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/1479,016; 08/1957,691; 08/1957,690; 08/1957,263; 08/1973,601  
08/203,004; 08/1899,904; 09/134,465  
09/025,012; 09/288,993; 09/304,859

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1642

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul Fehlner (3) Donald A. Brown

(2) Anna Lövgren (4) Dan Bend

Ernest Yankee Susan Ungar, PTO  
11/5/01 A. Caputo, PTO

Date of Interview

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: References of record

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: All pending

Identification of prior art discussed: References of record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed Bend

Family of Patent Applications, in particular A) Ovarian Cancer Claims,  
App. will submit refile & amendments for consideration to overcome prior art  
& enablement issues B) All rejections based on Bend's Abstract, App. will,  
provide arguments as to why there is no motivation to use the haptenization met

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) other

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. CA Types as well as well as

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview. submit amendments to overcome enablement

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked. rejections for consideration

Examiner's Signature